

INDETERMINATE SENTENCE REVIEW BOARD
BOARD MEETING
SUMMARY MINUTES

Wednesday, November 13, 2024

A regular meeting of the Indeterminate Sentence Review Board was held in the ISRB Office Building located at 637 Woodland Square Loop SE, Lacey, WA 98504, commencing at 9:00 a.m.

Present were:

Board Members:

Kecia Rongen
Corey McNally
Jeff Patnode
Elyse Balmert
Jill Getty

Staff:

Rebecca Torrence, Executive Assistant

A. To the Table Case – Members had a full Board discussion of this ISRB case.

PRE-84

- FRICK, Charles
- DOC #258422
- Purpose: Full Board Discussion
- Date: November 13, 2024
- List of Documents Reviewed
 - ISRB File
- An updated prosecutor recommendation was received and they were not in support of releasing Mr. Frick.

- Charles Frick was seen for a .100 hearing on October 22, 2024 at the Airway Heights Corrections Center.

- **Summary Discussion**
Jeff Patnode presented the case to the full Board.

- Charles Frick is under the jurisdiction of the Board for their conviction of Count I, Rape in the First Degree; Count II, Rape in the First Degree; and Count III Attempted Rape in the Second Degree in King County Cause 82-1-03262-6.

Regarding Count I, Rape in the Second Degree: Mr. Frick sexually assaulted a known female in her residence.

Regarding Count II, Rape in the First Degree while armed with a deadly weapon: Mr. Frick sexually assaulted an unknown female while wielding a knife.

Regarding Count III, Attempted Rape in the Second Degree: Mr. Frick attempted to vaginally rape a female that he met previously that night.

He was 32 years of age at the time of the crime and is currently 74 years of age.

Previous Criminal History:

Mr. Frick has a history of sexual assaults dating back to 1974 in Ohio as well as Burglary in King County. During his psychological evaluation, Mr. Frick self-disclosed two additional sexual assault victims of which no charges were brought forward. During the course of treatment, Mr. Frick reported five unadjudicated victims.

Risk assessments show him to be a high risk to re-offend.

Mr. Frick's last serious infraction was in 2022.

Mr. Frick has not participated in programming or treatment since being incarcerated.

Mr. Frick would like to utilize the voucher program.

- **Decision:**
The panel recommends Mr. Frick not be released and 48 months added to his Minimum Term.
- **Reasons:**
 - When screened for the SOTAP, he refused the program.
 - He demonstrated no insight into his violation behavior from his last release and indicated he did nothing wrong "accept trust his CCO".
 - He is an ESRC recommended level Two for community notification, aggravated from a level One due to documented information that increases his risk for a future sexual offense. He also scores High on the Stable 2007 (+14) and High on the PCL-R.

- Since his revocation, he has refused to participate in the DOC SOTAP, though his violation behavior was clearly risk related and sexual in nature. As he has not completed risk related programming since his revoke, the Board sees no evidence that he has gained new skills to assist him in being compliant with conditions or refrain from committing a new sex offense.
 - The most recent psychological evaluation opines “that the combination of Mr. Frick’s actuarial risk and personality structure make him high risk for violent and/or sexual recidivism.”
 - He has an extensive history of sexual assaults to include stranger victims in the community.
 - The Psychologist recommended Mr. Frick demonstrate proficiency in the programming recommended by the Board before he is considered for potential release to the community. She further recommended he complete the SOTAP, T4C and anger management.
 - It is unlikely that conditions of release would sufficiently reduce his risk of re-offense as he failed to abide by special risk related conditions when last released. Additionally, he takes no responsibility for his violation behavior and fails to admit he did anything wrong on his last release.
 - He is an ESRC recommended level Two for community notification.
- **Next Action:**
 - Schedule a .100 Hearing approximately 120 days prior to PERD. The Board may see him sooner upon notification he has completed the Sex Offense Treatment and Assessment Program (SOTAP).
 - **Vote:**

Jeff Patnode	Agree with recommendation
Kecia Rongen	Agree with recommendation
Corey McNally	Agree with recommendation
Elyse Balmert	Agree with recommendation
Jill Getty	Agree with recommendation