

INDETERMINATE SENTENCE REVIEW BOARD  
BOARD MEETING  
SUMMARY MINUTES

August 14, 2019

A regular meeting of the Indeterminate Sentence Review Board was held in the ISRB Office Building located at 4317 Sixth Avenue SE, Lacey, commencing at 9:00 a.m.

Present were:

Board Members:

Staff:

Kecia Rongen

Robin Riley, Executive Assistant

Lori Ramsdell-Gilkey

Jeff Patnode

Elyse Balmert

Tana Wood via telephone

**A. To the Table Case** – Members had a full Board discussion of this ISRB case.

**PRE-84**

- BARKER, William
- DOC #241981
- Purpose: Full Board Discussion
- Date: July 23, 2019
- List of Documents Reviewed
  - ISRB File
- An updated prosecutor recommendation was not received.
  
- Mr. Barker was scheduled for a .100 hearing at the Washington State Penitentiary on July 23, 2019. He did not attend and the hearing was held in absentia.
  
- **Summary Discussion**  
Mr. Patnode presented this case.

Mr. Barker is under the Board's jurisdiction in King County Cause 81-1-02710-1 for Rape in the First Degree Count I; Assault in the Second Degree Count II; Unlawful Imprisonment Count III (While Armed with a Deadly Weapon as to all counts.

Mr. Barker has a previous conviction and parole violation for Robbery in King County Cause 68712.

The crime involved Mr. Barker, while on furlough from the Washington State Penitentiary, accosting a 15 year old female and a 17 year old male while they walked together in the park. He approached them from behind and held a sharp object to the male's throat. He tied the boy's hands and threatened the girl with a sharp object. He directed them both to comply or he would hurt them. He then sexually assaulted the girl. He was 25 at the time of the offense in 1981 and he is currently 63 years of age.

Mr. Barker's previous criminal history includes an armed robbery of a mini-mart in 1974. Afterward, he had the attendant drive them away from the store. An off-duty officer had seen part of the crime, so he followed the car until police responded.

Risk assessments show Mr. Barker to be a high risk to re-offend.

Mr. Barker continues to have violent infractions to include two assaults since 2014.

Mr. Barker did not participate in a psychological evaluation and he refuses to program.

A Forensic Psychological Evaluation (FPE) was completed on Mr. Barker in 2012. At that time, he met criteria for civil commitment.

He has no support in the community and does not show any indication of a desire to release.

- **Decision:**  
The panel recommends he be found not parolable and that 77 months be added to his minimum term.
- **Reasons:**
  - **Mr. Barker continues to demonstrate risk related behavior in prison.**  
He has three serious infractions since his last Board hearing, two of which either involved direct physical violence or threats of violence against both DOC staff and other inmates and he has a pending infraction for another assault on an offender. Violence and use of aggression are high risk areas for Mr. Barker and his recent infraction history is indicative of his lack of progress in addressing and managing this area.
  - **The Board has not been able to speak with and assess Mr. Barker.**  
He refuses to participate in his .100 hearings, making it impossible for the Board to assess his rehabilitation and fitness.

- **The Board is missing a key element determine his rehabilitation and fitness for release.**

Mr. Barker refused to participate in his psychological evaluation, a key consideration for the Board as it relates to assessing his rehabilitation and fitness for release.

- **Mr. Barker has a prior Forensic Psychological Evaluation which indicates he may meet criteria as a Sexually Violent Predator under RCW 71.09.**

This FPE is additional evidence that indicates Mr. Barker is not a fit subject for release at this time.

- **Recommendations:**

- *William Barker should focus on remaining infraction free, participate in his next psychological evaluation, and attend his next Board hearing. The Board may see Mr. Barker sooner if he requests a hearings and meets the expectations outlined above.*

- **Next Action:**

Schedule .100 120 days prior to his parole eligibility review date (PERD). If Mr. Barker would like to see the Board sooner, he can write and let the Board know he is willing to participate in a psychological evaluation and his hearing.

- **Vote:**

Jeff Patnode	Agree with recommendation
Kecia Rongen	Agree with recommendation
Lori Ramsdell-Gilkey	Agree with recommendation
Elyse Balmert	Agree with recommendation