



MEETING MINUTES	
<i>Location</i>	Skype/Teleconference
<i>Attendees</i>	Mark Kucza, DOC Senior Administrator Mike Schindler, Contract DOC Facilitator Dan King, DOC Special Projects Manager Nanette Graham, DOC Capital Planning & Development Director Gar Rodside, DOC Senior Facilities Planner Brandy Jacobs, DOC Executive Secretary Alvin Mancao Connie Ladenburg Cornell Harris Jeff Cox Jeff Langhelm, Gig Harbor Facilities Management Jeremy Hunt Karl Imlig Larry Quintana, DOC Rachael Turner-Wilson, Goodwill Industries Dan Davis, Pierce County Bill Fosbre, City of Tacoma Attorney

Introductions & Opening	
<i>Mike Schindler</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Welcome</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	Mike Schindler opened the meeting and welcomed back past and new participants. Introductions were made.
Consolidated Site Considerations	
<i>Mike Schindler</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Project Review: Activity and progress</i> <i>Distribution from Work Release Data</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	Mike highlighted considerations for siting including proximity to services such as, substance abuse treatment, mental health services, work source locations, and be close to transportation; with connecting transits with consideration of frequency and local/regional options. Also to be considered is the proximity of walking distance to green space, parks, and outdoor space, specifically the existence of sidewalks or other walkable areas, proximity to schools, and single family residential areas. Other things to be considered are shopping options (clothing, hygiene, food, pharmacies), building security (security lighting, methods to control movement), and building requirements. These need to all be considered to minimize not in my back yard.
<i>Questions/Concerns</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There was discussion concerning the RFP. It was reiterated that it has closed and no new RFP will be issued in association with this project.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Connie asked how much of the building requirements need to be in place in an existing location? Mark and Gar indicated the dependencies would probably vary by each specific location • Jeff Cox asked what structural changes are being considered (if any) due to COVID? Meaning, separate rooms vs bunk or barracks-style. Mark replied that there are no specific space requirement changes at this time that he is aware of due to Covid-19 • Dan Davis: Is there considerations on expanding existing locations/facilities? What about other state agencies that have vacant locations? Gar indicated that the legislative authorization was specific to owner improvements and that other agencies would likely not be interested in making improvements at their expense to a facility for DOC. • Connie asked about releases from PHWR to Pierce County. Mark stated that there was a heat map showing releases from PHWR in 2019 in a previous PowerPoint presentation to the Committee. Mark indicated he would share the heat map and associated data with Connie and others. • Connie asked about whether DOC had any local agreements with communities where there are current WR facilities in the state. Mark stated that there are a few agreements; although most are quite dated and in need of review. Connie stated that such an agreement might make siting more palatable.
Site Review/Assessment update	
<i>Gar Rodside</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Distribution from Work Release Data</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	
<i>Questions/Concerns</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alvin mentioned elementary school that has been closed since 2013 as a potential site McKinley Elementary School 3702 E. McKinley Ave Tacoma 98404 Gar will follow-up • Gar requested clarifying specifics from Connie concerning a location at 10th and Pearl in Tacoma, and she said the location was currently occupied, but is expected to possibly be vacated. Gar to check.
LAC Data Inquiries	
<i>Mark Kucza</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Inquiries/Questions?</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	No questions or discussion
LAC Communication Plan	
<i>Mark Kucza/Sebastian Moraga</i>	
<i>Slides</i>	<i>Communication Plan Considerations</i>
<i>Discussion</i>	Mark notified that a draft framework of the communications plan will be out to the team by, Friday, May 1 st .
<i>Questions/Concerns</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was much discussion concerning the messaging and the possible public perception of an incongruent approach due to the Rapid Reentries (RR) from WR due to the current COVID-19 crisis and the on-going project to expand WR capacity. Mark indicated that the RR process is a temporary measure to reduce density DOCs custodial

	<p>facilities. He also pointed out that there is little public or legislative appetite for building prison capacity and that it is possible that even more WR beds and additional, appropriate partial confinement alternatives may be in even greater demand following the current crisis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dan Davis stated that while we have talked about recidivism associated with PHWR, the public might be interested in know about lower level violation behavior that doesn't necessarily rise to the level of a new criminal charge of return to prison- what are the typical violations? Mark indicated that he would follow-up with Dan to make certain any data inquiry we made was scoped properly.
<p>GENERAL DISCUSSION & TASKS FOR FOLLOW UP <i>Mike Schindler</i></p>	
<p>Slides</p>	<p><i>Questions/Wrap Up</i></p>
<p>Discussion</p>	<p>DOC encouraged current attendees to invite any community member who they feel should be a part of this committee.</p> <p><i>Work Release Expansion Website</i> https://doc.wa.gov/about/business/capital-planning/capacity-work-release.htm</p> <p><i>Pierce County Expansion email inbox:</i> docwexpandpc@doc1.wa.gov</p> <p><i>Next meeting:</i> Wednesday, May 20, 2020 4:00 pm – 6:00 pm Tacoma Community College, Bldg 9, Room 10 6501 South 19th Street Tacoma, WA 98466</p>
<p><i>Questions/ Inquiries?</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If we are still under stay at home order, we will have this meeting via Skype; and members will be notified. • Mark expressed his appreciation for this group's involvement in this process.