

Sentencing Recalculation

DATA ASSOCIATED WITH IDENTIFYING OFFENDERS POTENTIALLY IMPACTED

PETER GRAHAM

ENTERPRISE DATA ANALYTICS MANAGER

JANUARY 26, 2016




INITIAL GOAL

Initial Goal:

Can we identify the scope of the problem, by identifying offenders, who may be impacted by the Sentencing Recalculation issue?

Three base criteria were given:

1. Any enhancement or mandatory sentence element
2. The targeted sentence element (see above) has flat-time
3. The offender released after July 1, 2002



First Two Criteria:

Identifying mandatory and enhancement elements with flat time are relatively easy.

Basic Example: 891761

However, changing a single element of a sentence can potentially change how all the other elements interact with each other.

Consecutive Example: 253393

So we identify all periods of jurisdiction that have an element of interest, and then pull all the sentence information associated with that period of jurisdiction.

Outcome:

Approximately 35,000 sentence elements.

[Here is an example list created.](#)


This includes all offenders who have had a sentence element fitting the criteria.

- *Currently Incarcerated*
- *Released Prior to 2002*
- *Released After 2002*

Applying the Last Criteria:

Identifying whether or not the offender released after July 1, 2002 is problematic.

Unfortunately, the OMNI architecture is not designed to connect sentences to admission and release periods. OMNI is designed to keep track of sentences within an offender's period of jurisdiction, which may include several admissions and releases.



Efforts at applying the release date criteria

We have attempted to use the various dates associated with each sentence and each admission period to tie specific crimes to release dates.

Knowing that the priority was for releases from December 17, 2011 through December 2015, we have established a list of offenders that have been manually reviewed by our Records and QA staff. [The most recent list can be found here.](#)

As of January 19, 2016, here is some information about that list:

| Current Review Summary as of January 25, 2016 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1,522 | Number of Potential Release since 12/17/2011 |
| 1,498 | Reviewed to Decision Point ¹ (98% of Potential Population) |
| 353 | Not Impacted ² (24% of Reviewed Population) |
| 1,035 | Compliant After Release no time Owed ³ (69% of Reviewed Population) |
| 110 | Arrest Plan Initiated ⁴ (7% of Reviewed Population) |
| <p>1- The release was reviewed to the point where a decision of whether the offender was impacted, compliant, or needed to be arrested was made.</p> <p>2- Not Impacted - the offender's sentence was not impacted and no recalculation necessary.</p> <p>3- Release was impacted, but the offender remained compliant after release and with the application of day-for-day credits the offender no longer owes any confinement</p> <p>4- If the release was impacted and the offender still owes confinement time, an arrest plan is initiated.</p> | |
| <p>Data was pulled from SharePoint on 1/25/2016 at 7:00 AM</p> <p>Created: DOC/Data Analytics 1/25/2016 - 6:35 pm.</p> | |

Potentially Impacted Releases 2002-2011

We have more recently tried to create a list of potentially impacted releases between July 1, 2002 and December 16, 2011. [Please find the list here.](#)

There is some language around the review process for these releases posted on our website, but based on what we've seen so far, we would expect that approximately 25% of the releases were not impacted by recalculating sentences and that the vast majority of offenders were compliant after their release and did not commit additional crimes.